

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/573,529	03/24/2006	Changling Liu	034226R002	5464
441 SMITH GAM	7590 01/10/2008	EXAMINER		
SMITH, GAMBRELL & RUSSELL 1130 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W., SUITE 1130			KATAKAM, SUDHAKAR	
WASHINGTO	SHINGTON, DC 20036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1621	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/10/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/573,529	LIU ET AL.				
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Sudhakar Katakam	1621				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STAT WHICHEVER IS LONG Extensions of time may be av after SIX (6) MONTHS from t If NO period for reply is speci- Failure to reply within the set	GER, FROM THE MAILING D railable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 he mailing date of this communication. fied above, the maximum statutory period or extended period for reply will, by statute ice later than three months after the mailin	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTA ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (See a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from (See a), cause the application to become ABANDO (see a) date of this communication, even if timely find (see a).	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C.§ 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive to c	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 March 2006</u> .						
2a)☐ This action is FII							
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
 4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 							
	7) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
•	subject to restriction and/or e	lection requirement.					
Application Papers	•						
	is objected to by the Examine	ar.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) fi Applicant may not Replacement draw	led on is/are: a)☐ acc request that any objection to the wing sheet(s) including the correc	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Stion is required if the drawing(s) is examiner. Note the attached Offi	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cite 2) Notice of Draftsperson's P 3) Information Disclosure Sta	atent Drawing Review (PTO-948) atement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	Date				

10/573,529 Art Unit: 1621

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claims 1-4, drawn to a benzopyrone compounds, represented by generic formula (I).

Group II, claim 5, drawn to a preparation method of benzopyrone compounds, represented by generic formula (I).

Group III, claim(s) 6-7, drawn to a method of use of benzopyrone compounds, represented by generic formula (I).

Group IV, claim 8, drawn to a composition of fungicides and insecticides comprises benzopyrone compounds, represented by generic formula (I).

2. The inventions listed as Groups I, II, III and IV do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the common technical feature in all groups is the "benzopyrone compound represented by formula (I)". This element is shown in the prior art (JP 2897789) teaches the compound. Thus, there is no special technical feature connecting all the claims.

10/573,529 Art Unit: 1621

3. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

See the examples disclosed in the specification.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

- 4. The following claims are generic:
 - Claims 1-8 are generic.
- 5. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the special technical feature which is referred to Annex B of Appendix A1 of MPEP (Administrative Instructions under the PCT, "Unity of Invention"). Unity exists only when there is a

Application/Control Number:

10/573,529

Art Unit: 1621

technical relationship among the claimed inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding claimed technical features. The express "special technical features" is defined as meaning those technical features that define a contribution which each of the inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art ("Rule 13.2). The question of unity of invention has been reconsidered retroactively by the examiner in view of the search performed; a review of prior art (JP 2897789) makes clear that the claimed "compounds" are not novel over the prior art (the instantly claimed compounds). Furthermore, these reference appear to demonstrate that the claimed "compounds" analog does not define a contribution which each of the inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art. Accordingly, the prior art of the record supports restriction of the claimed subject matter in to the groups as mentioned above.

- 6. A telephone call was not made because of the complex nature of claims.
- 7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of

Application/Control Number:

10/573,529 Art Unit: 1621

record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sudhakar Katakam whose telephone number is 571-272-9929. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yvonne Eyler can be reached on 571-272-0871. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SK

PETER O'SULLIVAN PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1200